

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,695	09/19/2003	Sang K. Sheem	6232	
7590 09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
SANG K. SHEEM			AVERY, BRIDGET D	
1790 PASEO D	EL CAJON			
PLEASANTON	I, CA 94566		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3618	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>			
	10/665,695	SHEEM, SANG K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bridget Avery	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  I the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	September 2003.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	l.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers			•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/19/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ha				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	cu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. Store representation (1 10-102)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office A	action Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050802				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. Regarding claim 10, the phrase "or the likes" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 3. Regarding claim 14, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 4. Regarding claim 15, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/665,695 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gogulski (US Patent 4,071,740) in view of Dupuy 2557916 (Derwent 1985-205049).

Gogulski teaches an automated shopping system similar to applicant's including:

- A body
- A base
- > Transportation means
- > A rechargeable battery (see column 3, lines 49-51)
- Information hardware
- > Pushbuttons (34) to communicate with a store employee for help
- ➤ A computer (12) for dispensing information via slot (31) such as product information
- Product information is stored according to the barcode (line code) of each product (see column 1, lines 21-39)
- The system includes a barcode scanner (26, 46) (see column 2, lines 52-68)
- > The information hardware includes the status of the cart (see column 3, lines 43-48)

Art Unit: 3618

- > The information hardware includes an antenna (see teaching of receivers in column 7, lines 58-63)
- The information is received utilizing a wireless communication link in part with the in-store central computer (note, there are no wires connecting the carts with the central computer)

Gogulski lacks the teaching of a cart with a height too high to deter theft.

Dupuy 2557916 teaches a cart with a height too high to pass through an exit gate including a sound alarm.

Based on the teachings of Dupuy 2557916, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the cart of Gogulski to have a height too high to pass through exit gates to prevent the theft of an expensive cart to keep consumer cost low. Re claims 20 and 21, the use of remote data centers and information received via the internet is old and well known. Based on the teaching of wireless communication taught by Gogulski, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to include remote data centers and information received via the internet to reduce the time required for uploading information at each store/supermarket individually.

6. Claims 1-8, 10-14, 18 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta et al. (US Patent 5,361,871) in view of Dupuy 2557916 (Derwent 1985-205049).

Application/Control Number: 10/665,695 Page 5

Art Unit: 3618

Gupta et al. teaches an automated shopping system similar to applicant's including:

- ➤ A body
- > A base
- > Transportation means
- A rechargeable battery (located within the microcomputer 3 and remote unit battery 9) stored in the base area
- Information hardware (3)
- Storage hardware (2)
- > A strip sensor to detect that a cart is exiting the store
- > Pushbuttons (34) to communicate with a store employee for help
- ➤ A computer (12) for dispensing information via slot (31) such as product information
- Product information is stored according to the barcode of each product (see column 6, lines 40-46)
- ➤ The system includes a barcode scanner (4) (see the abstract)
- > The information hardware includes the status of the cart
- The information hardware includes an antenna (note teaching of radio transmitters and receivers in column 12, lines 27-36)
- The information is received utilizing a wireless communication link in part with the in-store central computer (note, there are no wires connecting the carts with the central computer)
- > Re claim 13, see column 8, lines 46-55

Application/Control Number: 10/665,695 Page 6

Art Unit: 3618

Gupta et al. lacks the teaching of a cart with a height too high to deter theft.

Dupuy 2557916 teaches a cart with a height too high to pass through an exit gate

including a sound alarm.

Based on the teachings of Dupuy 2557916, it would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the

cart of Gupta et al. to have a height too high to pass through exit gates to reduce

significant risk of expensive damage or theft of remote unit-equipped carts.

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta et

al. ('871) and Derwent (2557916) as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of

Sloane (US Patent 5,918,211).

The combination of Gupta et al. and 2557916 teach the features described

above.

The combination of Gupta et al. and 2557916 lack the teaching of a video

camera.

Sloane teaches a video camera (4a, 44b).

Based on the teachings of Sloane, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the cart to

include a video camera to readily assist customers and to enable the surveillance

of the scanned products and products being placed into the cart.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3618

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Holtan et al. shows a cart pulling device with dual cable drums and dual torsion springs.

Begum shows an automated shopping cart handle.

Begum shows another automated shopping cart handle.

Lipschitz shows a shop E Z cart.

Lipton et al. shows a shopping cart attachment.

Trubiano shows a shopping cart apparel hanger.

Ackerson shows a security gate.

Castaneda shows a shopping cart theft-control apparatus.

Greenfield shows a barrier.

Greenfield shows another barrier.

Greenfield shows yet another barrier.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 571-272-6691.

, (VOI)

August 22, 2005

J. ALLEN SHRIVER BUMARY EXAMINER